

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/783,353	02/14/2001	Franklin Sadler Love III	41012/208363 1080		
826 7	590 12/19/2002				
ALSTON & I		EXAMINER			
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			HONG, JOHN C		
CHARLOTTE	, NC 28280-4000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3726		
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-					HC		
		Application No	Application No. Applicant(s)		<u> </u>		
		09/783,353	LOV	'E ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art	Unit	 .		
		John C. Hong	372	3			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cov	er sheet with the corres	pondence address	-		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Faill Any rearms	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho ion. s, a reply within the statutory r period will apply and will expi y statute, cause the application e mailing date of this commun	wever, may a reply be timely file ninimum of thirty (30) days will b e SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma to become ABANDONED (35 l	d e considered timely. illing date of this communication. J.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>04 October 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-	final.				
3)☐ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice uion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-91 is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-62 is/are with	drawn from consider	ation.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) 63-91 is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requi	ement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b) dobje	cted to by the Examine	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection		-				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	, ,	action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been red	ceived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been red	ceived in Application N	o			
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	this National Stage			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do		•	a provisional application	າ).		
a	 The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do 	ge provisional applica	tion has been received	1.	,-		
Attachmen	-	<u> </u>					
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N		Notice of Informal Patent	-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and T	rademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 09/783,353

Art Unit: 3726

3,

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group III, claims 63-91 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 74 and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 74, line 2, "spraying a fan shaped jet of the media" is not clear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 63 and 73-79,90 and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Novak et al. (U.S. Patent 4,877,638).

Novak et al. disclose a continuous method of descaling a layer of scale

Application/Control Number: 09/783,353

Art Unit: 3726

on an advancing metal surface 12 without the use of caustic materials, the method comprising: advancing a metal surface along a predetermined path of travel; cracking the layer of scale by spraying a fan shaped jet of media 6 under fluid pressure at the surface of advancing metal; and abrading with brush 18 the cracked layer of scale to remove the scale thereby forming a descaled metal surface (Fig.; col. 2, lines 4-11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 64-67 and 85-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novak et al. (U.S. Patent 4,877,638).

Novak et al. fail to teach: the roughness of the metal surface (2.5/1.5 micron Ra), the metal surface speed (100fpm/400fpm); SEM/EDS percent residual surface oxygen measurement of less than 4%; and residual particle contents of from 0.1% to 1%; surface PH of 7 or above.

But specifically claimed roughness, SEM/EDS percent residual surface oxygen measurement and residual particle contents are considered to have been obvious matters of choice, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. in re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

8. Claims 68-72 and 80-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novak et al. in view of Safien et al. (U.S. Patent 4,250,726).

Application/Control Number: 09/783,353

Art Unit: 3726

ì

Novak et al. fail to teach the steps of: spraying smooth edged media (claim 68); spraying ceramic beads having mean particle diameter within range of .025 mm to 1 mm/.07 mm to .14 mm. (claims 69 - 71); spraying glass beads at the metal surface; cracking step comprises: additionally up-firing the media at a bottom surface of the metal; up-firing the media at a bottom surface of the metal; spraying top and bottom of the metal; and abrading step comprises abrading top surface and bottom surface of the metal (claims 80-84).

Safien et al. teach the steps of: spraying smooth edged media; spraying ceramic beads having mean particle diameter within range of .025 mm to 1 mm/.07 mm to .14 mm; spraying glass beads at the metal surface (col. 3, lines 14-16; col.6, lines 5-7; 39-42); cracking step comprises: additionally up-firing the media at a bottom surface of the metal; up-firing the media at a bottom surface of the metal; spraying top and bottom of the metal; and abrading step comprises abrading top surface and bottom surface of the metal (col. 4, lines 60-62; col. 5, lines 14 and 15; 66 and 67)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the step of spraying smooth edged media; spraying ceramic beads having mean particle diameter within range of .025 mm to 1 mm/.07 mm to .14 mm; spraying glass beads at the metal surface; cracking step comprises: additionally up-firing the media at a bottom surface of the metal; up-firing the media at a bottom surface of the metal; spraying top and bottom of the metal; and abrading step comprises abrading top surface and bottom surface of the metal, as taught by Safien et al. on the method of Novak et al. so as to produce a mirror or dull finish on the rolled article of stainless steel or aluminum alloys economically (col. 2, lines 36-42).

Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

Regarding specifically claimed particle diameter of the beads are considered to have been obvious matters of choice, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. in re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John C. Hong whose telephone number is 703-305-0779. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(07:00-16:30)First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

jh December 16, 2002